



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/771,631	01/30/2001	Mehdi Hamadou	Q62250	1269

7590

08/27/2003

SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20037-3213

EXAMINER

HOLMES, MICHAEL B

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2121

DATE MAILED: 08/27/2003

10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/771,631

Applicant(s)

HAMADOU ET AL.

Examiner

Michael B. Holmes

Art Unit

2121

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 January 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 07 June 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/771,631.
3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 8.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other:



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

Examiner's Detailed Office Action

1. This action is responsive to application **09/771,631**, filed **January 30, 2001**.
2. **Claims 1-14** have been examined.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. Examiner acknowledges applicants' submission of prior art and information disclosure. Nevertheless, applicant is respectfully remind of the ongoing Duty to disclose 37 C.F.R. 1.56 all pertinent information and material pertaining to the patentability of applicant's claimed invention, by continuing to submitting in a timely manner PTO-1449, Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) with the filing of applicant's of application or thereafter.

Drawings

4. The formal drawings have been reviewed by the United States Patent & Trademark Office of Draftperson's Patent Drawings Review.

Specification

5. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is required in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Interpretation

6. Office personnel are to give claims their "**broadest reasonable interpretation**" in light of the supporting disclosure. *In re Morris*, 127 F.3d 1048, 1054-55, 44 USPQ2d 1023, 1027-28 (Fed. Cir. 1997). Limitations appearing in the specification but not recited in the claim are not read into the claim. *In re Prater*, 415 F.2d 1393, 1404-05, 162 USPQ 541, 550-551 (CCPA 1969). See *also *In re Zletz*, 893 F.2d 319, 321-22, 13 USPQ2d 1320, 1322 (Fed. Cir. 1989) ("During patent examination the pending claims must be interpreted as broadly as their terms reasonably allow. . . . The reason is simply that during patent prosecution when claims can be amended, ambiguities should be recognized, scope and breadth of language explored, and clarification imposed. . . . An essential purpose of patent examination is to fashion claims that are precise, clear, correct, and unambiguous. Only in this way can uncertainties of claim scope be removed, as much as possible, during the administrative process."). *see* MPEP § 2106

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2121

8. **Claims 1-14** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Specifically, "a real device having real subcomponents" ambiguous, vague, and indefinite. Moreover, there are a myriad of software and hardware applications, products, and systems, inclusive of virtual and automatic components and processes. Applicant will have to be a lot more specific, regarding the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

10. **Claims 1-14** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Saucedo et al. (USPN 5,754,738), Filed: June. 7, 1996; Date of Patent: May 19, 1997.

Regarding claim 1:

Saucedo teaches

- a system for operating and monitoring a real device having real subcomponents, the system comprising: a data processing device, comprising a software model including virtual components, wherein the software model represents the real device, and wherein the virtual components are linked to each other in correspondence to relationships of or within the real device [(col. 1, line 8-14); col. 1,

line 37 to col. 2, line 23 *“The present invention is directed to a computerized prototyping system containing a virtual system design environment ... and to automatically optimize the model with the help of a knowledge based expert system.”*]; and a display for displaying views associated with the virtual components [(col. 1, line 8-14); col. 1, line 37 to col. 2, line 23 *“The present invention is directed to a computerized prototyping system containing a virtual system design environment ... and to automatically optimize the model with the help of a knowledge based expert system.”*]; wherein at least one of the virtual components and the views include access data for accessing at least one of local information data and global information data, which are associated with the virtual components. [(col. 1, line 8-14); col. 1, line 37 to col. 2, line 23 *“The present invention is directed to a computerized prototyping system containing a virtual system design environment ... and to automatically optimize the model with the help of a knowledge based expert system.”*]

Regarding claim 2:

Saucedo teaches

the real device comprises an automation system. [(Abstract of the Invention *“and to automatically optimize the model with the help of a knowledge based expert system.”*)]

Regarding claim 3:

Saucedo teaches

Art Unit: 2121

links between the virtual components form a data structure of the software model that is stored in the data processing device. [(col. 1, line 8-14); col. 1, line 37 to col. 2, line 23 “*The present invention is directed to a computerized prototyping system containing a virtual system design environment ... and to automatically optimize the model with the help of a knowledge based expert system.*”)]

Regarding claim 4:

Saucedo teaches

the virtual components comprise a virtual device and virtual subcomponents, which represent the real device and the real subcomponents, respectively, wherein the virtual device and the virtual subcomponents are designed as at least one of data and data processing programs, and wherein the virtual device and the virtual subcomponents are linked to each other in correspondence to at least one of operational relationships, physical relationships, and technical relationships of or within the real device. [(col. 1, line 8-14); col. 1, line 37 to col. 2, line 23 “*The present invention is directed to a computerized prototyping system containing a virtual system design environment ... and to automatically optimize the model with the help of a knowledge based expert system.*”)]

Regarding claim 5:

Saucedo teaches

the data processing programs are embedded in a software frame via cross-references, and wherein at least one of the software frame and the cross-reference is structured to permit, for navigation purposes, access by a user to at least one of the virtual device and the virtual

Art Unit: 2121

subcomponents. [(col. 3, line 50-61 “Initially, attention is directed to **FIG. 35** which shows a schematic representation of the general interrelationships between the human user and the computerized prototyping system of the invention, including the various components and associated interfaces. Stored in a computer RAM of the prototyping system are graphic software code, design browsers code, constraint solver program code, printer and plotter drivers, optimization algorithm code and knowledge base system code. Further, a computer hard drive contains the requisite database. Input devices include a keyboard and mouse, and a printer and plotter may be provided in addition to the user interface screen.”)]

Regarding claim 6:*Saucedo teaches*

further comprising: a connection between the data processing device and the real device, wherein, via the connection, control data and process data are transmitted in at least one of a unidirectional manner and a bi-directional manner; and a component arranged in the data processing device, wherein the component is structured for at least one of transmitting and receiving data. [(col. 3, line 50-61 “Initially, attention is directed to **FIG. 35** which shows a schematic representation of the general interrelationships between the human user and the computerized prototyping system of the invention, including the various components and associated interfaces. Stored in a computer RAM of the prototyping system are graphic software code, design browsers code, constraint solver program code, printer and plotter drivers, optimization algorithm code and knowledge base system code. Further, a computer hard

Art Unit: 2121

drive contains the requisite database. Input devices include a keyboard and mouse, and a printer and plotter may be plotter may be provided in addition to the user interface screen.”)]

Regarding claim 7:

Saucedo teaches

technologically different ones of the virtual subcomponents are assigned to the virtual device, wherein technologically structured subordinate components are assigned to each of the virtual subcomponents, and wherein the access data are structured for navigating a user through the virtual device, through the technologically different virtual subcomponents, and through the subordinate components. [(col. 3, line 50-61 “Initially, attention is directed to FIG. 35 which shows a schematic representation of the general interrelationships between the human user and the computerized prototyping system of the invention, including the various components and associated interfaces. Stored in a computer RAM of the prototyping system are graphic software code, design browsers code, constraint solver program code, printer and plotter drivers, optimization algorithm code and knowledge base system code. Further, a computer hard drive contains the requisite database. Input devices include a keyboard and mouse, and a printer and plotter may be plotter may be provided in addition to the user interface screen. “)]

Regarding claim 8:

Saucedo teaches

- a method for operating and monitoring a real device having real subcomponents,

comprising: navigating in a model stored in a data processing device, wherein the model comprises virtual components and views, wherein the virtual components represent the real device, and wherein the views are assigned to the virtual components [(col. 3, line 50 to col. 4, line 13 “ *As shown in FIG. 1, the virtual system design environment ... ODSS allows the downselection of alternate designs and their high level design optimization.*”)]; assigning a model structure to the model, wherein the model structure is stored in the data processing device, and wherein the model structure comprises a linkage of the virtual components in correspondence to relationships of or within the real device [(col. 4, line 51-63 “ *Each stage will be associated with a set of specification ... The components of the overall system is shown in FIG. 4. The flow-chart representation of the evaluation methodology is shown in FIG. 5.*”)]; and accessing at least one of local information data and global information data via access data that are included in at least one of the virtual components and the views, wherein the local information data and the global information data are associated with the virtual components. [(col. 4, line 51-63 “ *Each stage will be associated with a set of specification ... The components of the overall system is shown in FIG. 4. The flow-chart representation of the evaluation methodology is shown in FIG. 5.*”)]

Regarding claim 9:

Saucedo teaches

Art Unit: 2121

further comprising displaying the local information data and the global information data to a user via the views. [(col. 5, line 4-10 “*The designer either builds a KBS using the ODSS or loads a previously designed KBS into the ODSS. During the KBS building, variables at each level and their interconnection strengths are provided through a text edit window. The designer views the decision tree structure through the graphical user interface and makes any necessary changes to the model, at any time during the design process.*”)]

Regarding claim 10:

Saucedo teaches

further comprising assigning a menu bar to a specific one of the views, wherein the menu bar identifies access capabilities to other available ones of the views, which are different from the specific one of the views. [FIG. 11, FIG. 12 & FIG. 13 (col. 7, line 4-26 “*The Graphical User Interface is an important component of ODSS and VSDE. In using the VSDE, many of the flexibility comes from user-friendly GUIs. Mainly there are three types of interfaces in ODSS. ... In this case ODSS evaluated six different design alternatives against a set of designer specified criteria and ranked them in numerical order.*”)]

Regarding claim 11:

Saucedo teaches

further comprising transmitting data via a connection between the data processing device and the real device. [(col. 3, line 50-61 “*Initially, attention is directed to FIG. 35 which shows a schematic representation of the general interrelationships between the human user and the*

Art Unit: 2121

computerized prototyping system of the invention, including the various components and associated interfaces. Stored in a computer RAM of the prototyping system are graphic software code, design browsers code, constraint solver program code, printer and plotter drivers, optimization algorithm code and knowledge base system code. Further, a computer hard drive contains the requisite database. Input devices include a keyboard and mouse, and a printer and plotter may be provided in addition to the user interface screen. “)]

Regarding claim 12:

Saucedo teaches

the data comprise at least one of operation data and control data. [FIG. 11, FIG. 12 & FIG. 13 (col. 7, line 4-26 “The Graphical User Interface is an important component of ODSS and VSDE. In using the VSDE, many of the flexibility comes from user-friendly GUIs. Mainly there are three types of interfaces in ODSS. ... In this case ODSS evaluated six different design alternatives against a set of designer specified criteria and ranked them in numerical order.”)]

Regarding claim 13:

Saucedo teaches

further comprising activating a virtual subcomponent as one of the views by selecting a section of an image of the real device, wherein the section represents the virtual subcomponent. [FIG. 11, FIG. 12 & FIG. 13 (col. 7, line 4-26 “The Graphical User Interface is an important component of ODSS and VSDE. In using the VSDE, many of the flexibility comes from user-friendly GUIs. Mainly there are three types of interfaces in ODSS. ... In this case ODSS

Art Unit: 2121

evaluated six different design alternatives against a set of designer specified criteria and ranked them in numerical order.”)]

Regarding claim 14:

Saucedo teaches

- a user interface for operating and monitoring a device comprising subcomponents interrelated through technical relationships, wherein the user interface comprises a plurality of screen windows on a screen of a display **[FIG. 11, FIG. 12 & FIG. 13 (col. 7, line 4-26 “The Graphical User Interface is an important component of ODSS and VSDE. In using the VSDE, many of the flexibility comes from user-friendly GUIs. Mainly there are three types of interfaces in ODSS. ... In this case ODSS evaluated six different design alternatives against a set of designer specified criteria and ranked them in numerical order.”)]**; wherein each screen window comprises an information set regarding one of the subcomponents of the device**[FIG. 11, FIG. 12 & FIG. 13 (col. 7, line 4-26 “The Graphical User Interface is an important component of ODSS and VSDE. In using the VSDE, many of the flexibility comes from user-friendly GUIs. Mainly there are three types of interfaces in ODSS. ... In this case ODSS evaluated six different design alternatives against a set of designer specified criteria and ranked them in numerical order.”)]**; wherein each screen window comprises at least one cross-reference via which a user selects a specific screen window within the plurality of screen windows; and wherein the respective information sets on each screen

window are linked to each other by the at least one cross-reference in correspondence to the technical relationships between the subcomponents of the device.

[FIG. 11, FIG. 12 & FIG. 13 (col. 7, line 4-26 “*The Graphical User Interface is an important component of ODSS and VSDE. In using the VSDE, many of the flexibility comes from user-friendly GUIs. Mainly there are three types of interfaces in ODSS. ... In this case ODSS evaluated six different design alternatives against a set of designer specified criteria and ranked them in numerical order.*”)]

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and (listed of form **PTO-892**) not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure as follows: Applicant or applicant's representative is respectfully reminded that in process of patent prosecution i.e., amending of claims in response to a rejection of claims set forth by the Examiner per Title 35 U.S.C. The patentable novelty must be clearly shown in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited and any objections made. Moreover, applicant or applicant's representative must clearly show how the amendments avoid or overcome such references and objections. *See 37 CFR § 1.111(c).*

Art Unit: 2121

Correspondence Information

12. Any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Michael B. Holmes** who may be reached via telephone at **(703) 308-6280**. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. eastern standard time.

If you need to send the Examiner, a facsimile transmission regarding After Final issues, please send it to **(703) 746-7238**. If you need to send an Official facsimile transmission, please send it to **(703) 746-7239**. If you would like to send a Non-Official (draft) facsimile transmission the fax is **(703) 746-7240**. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the **Examiner's Supervisor, Anil Khatri**, may be reached at **(703) 305-0282**.

Any response to this office action should be mailed too:

Director of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231. Hand-delivered responses should be delivered to the Receptionist, located on the fourth floor of **Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive Arlington, Virginia**.

Michael B. Holmes

Patent Examiner

Artificial Intelligence

Art Unit 2121

United States Department of Commerce

Patent & Trademark Office



ANIL KHATRI
PRIMARY EXAMINER